https://doi.org/10.28925/2617-5266/2025.1011

DEEP READING AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT IN THE DIGITAL AGE – CHALLENGES AND EDUCATIONAL STRATEGIES

Larysa Udovychenko
ORCID ID 0000-0002-5698-557X
Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor,
Professor of the Department of Oriental Culture and Literature
Borys Grinchenko Kyiv Metropolitan University,
04053, 18/2 Bulvarno-Kudriavska Str, Kyiv, Ukraine,
l.udovychenko@kubg.edu.ua

ABSTRACT

This article examines the cognitive, neuropsychological, and pedagogical significance of deep reading in the context of the digital transformation of contemporary culture. Drawing on interdisciplinary research in neuroscience, cognitive psychology, media studies, and reading pedagogy, the study defines reading as a complex cognitive activity that integrates visual, linguistic, semantic, and affective processes. Such integration strengthens executive functions, sustained attention, metacognitive monitoring, analytical reasoning, and social and emotional competencies. A theoretical analysis of recent empirical findings reveals substantial evidence that digital environments – characterised by fragmentation, accelerated media formats, and habitual multitasking – undermine the cognitive conditions necessary for deep reading. International assessments such as PIRLS and PISA likewise indicate a decline in reading stamina, comprehension depth, and higher-order interpretive skills among school-age learners. These tendencies are exacerbated by the persistence of outdated instructional models that emphasise factual reproduction rather than immersive engagement with literary texts. The study argues that restoring deep reading requires a pedagogical reorientation toward slow, reflective, and emotionally engaged interaction with texts, supported by teachers who understand the cognitive mechanisms of reading and the challenges of digital culture. Policy-level measures, including curriculum redesign and strengthened reading promotion initiatives, are also essential. Overall, the article demonstrates that deep reading remains a

vital cognitive and cultural practice capable of fostering intellectual resilience, ethical sensitivity, and reflective thinking in the digital age.

Keywords: *attention, cognitive development, deep reading, digital culture, educational strategies, empathy, literary education, media environment, neuroscience, reading competence.*

© Larysa Udovychenko, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, a growing body of international scholarship has demonstrated that reading constitutes one of the fundamental cognitive practices shaping the architecture of the brain, the emotional sphere, and the intellectual development of the individual. Neurocognitive research shows that reading is not merely the ability to decode graphic symbols but a highly complex activity that engages multiple brain regions working together to form new neural connections (Carr, 2010; Dehaene, 2020; Zull, 2011). Through this integration, reading strengthens attention, working memory, analytical thinking, imagination, and empathy, thereby becoming a powerful driver of holistic personal development. Besides, reading is an activity that activates both cognitive and affective systems, enabling the learner to form durable internal representations and develop reflective, emotionally attuned thinking (Immordino-Yang & Damasio, 2007).

These scientific insights stand in sharp contrast to the contemporary situation, in which the rapid expansion of digital technologies has radically altered the nature of human interaction

with text. Numerous studies report a decline in sustained attention, the dominance of skimming, reduced persistence in cognitively demanding tasks, and the weakening of deep, focused reading – a skill that once formed the foundation of education (Baron, 2015; Mangen et al., 2013). Meta-analytic evidence demonstrates that digital reading is frequently associated with lower comprehension, decreased metacognitive monitoring, and less accurate recall compared to print reading (Delgado et al., 2018; Singer & Alexander, 2017). At the same time, school-age youth seldom read full literary works, often replacing them with summaries or fragmented digital interpretations, which negatively affects their cognitive growth, linguistic competence, and emotional sensitivity.

The problem is further exacerbated by the fact that many teachers responsible for cultivating students' reading competencies are not sufficiently familiar with contemporary findings in cognitive psychology, educational neuroscience, and the psychology of reading (Willingham, 2009, 2017). Consequently, schools frequently reproduce outdated instructional models in which attention is directed not toward reading as a cognitive process but toward the reproduction of factual material such as biographies, literary-historical data, or schematic plot summaries. This misalignment reduces the time allocated to genuinely deep reading and, as a result, literature lessons often become lessons about literature rather than lessons of reading. Such instructional practices overlook the

crucial significance of slow, immersive engagement with a text – an approach repeatedly emphasised in modern research on reading and learning (Wolf, 2007, 2018).

In the digital age – marked by overwhelming information flows, increasingly brief and fast-paced media formats, fragmented attention, accelerated multitasking, and the illusion of informational mastery – educational institutions face profound challenges from digital transformation (Mospan & Sysoieva, 2022; Mospan, 2023). Students find it increasingly difficult to maintain cognitive endurance, and their reading habits are shaped by media environments that reward speed over depth, immediacy over reflection, and surface-level scanning over analytical engagement (Carr, 2010; Ophir et al., 2009). Research in media psychology and digital well-being further shows that such environments promote rapid attentional shifts and undermine the cognitive mechanisms required for sustained, reflective reading (Kaye et al., 2021).

These circumstances necessitate a comprehensive examination of the role of reading in cognitive development, an evaluation of current reading practices in schools, an identification of the factors that impede deep reading, and the development of pedagogical strategies that can restore reading to the centre of literary education. The present article addresses these issues by conceptualising reading not merely as an educational or cultural activity but as a deeply cognitive and neuropsychological process

that plays a decisive role in shaping the intellectual identity, emotional maturity, and reflective capacity of the modern student.

METHODS

The present study is based on a comprehensive theoretical analysis of contemporary interdisciplinary scholarship in the fields of the neuropsychology of reading, cognitive science, media psychology, and the methodology of teaching literature. The methodological foundation of the work combines several analytical approaches applied to an extensive corpus of scientific sources that encompass findings from recent decades in neuroscience, developmental psychology, pedagogy, and digital literacy research (Chesnokova & Zyngier, 2024; Dehaene, 2020; Willingham, 2009; Zull, 2011). This integrative framework enables a holistic understanding of reading as a multifaceted cognitive and cultural phenomenon shaped simultaneously by biological, psychological, educational, and technological factors.

The first methodological component involved a cognitive-neuropsychological analysis of studies describing the functioning of the brain during reading, the formation and consolidation of neural networks, and the roles of working memory, attention, executive functions, and emotional processing. This approach made it possible to conceptualise reading as a multilayered activity that integrates visual, linguistic, semantic, and affective brain systems. Recent researches illuminate the neural mechanisms underlying meaning construction, empathy, and reflective

thinking. Special attention was also paid to the emerging field of educational neuroscience, which offers insights into how reading fosters cognitive flexibility and long-term neural plasticity (Carr, 2010; Immordino-Yang, 2016; Kandel, 2016).

The second analytical tool consisted of a psychological-pedagogical analysis focused on the influence of reading on the development of thinking skills, emotional sensitivity, reflective capacity, and empathy. This included an examination of research on reading competence, the developmental characteristics of school-age learners, and motivational factors influencing students' engagement with literary texts (Baron, 2017; Mangen et al., 2013). Studies on reading motivation and the science-of-reading framework (Duke & Cartwright, 2021) were incorporated to clarify how cognitive and affective components interact in the formation of reading comprehension.

A further methodological component was the analysis of digital transformations, drawing on media psychology, digital culture studies, and cognitive load theory. This allowed us to trace how contemporary information environments – characterised by fragmentation, multimedia saturation, rapid shifts between tasks, and clip-like formats – affect students' attention span, depth of information processing, and reading habits (Carr, 2010; Robinson & Calvo, 2021). The analysis also considered findings on digital well-being and attentional fragmentation, which highlight how constant connectivity and digital multitasking reshape the cognitive preconditions required for deep reading (Kaye et al.,

2021; Ophir et al., 2009). Meta-analytic comparisons of print and digital reading (Delgado et al., 2018; Singer & Alexander, 2017) further informed the interpretation of changes in comprehension and metacognitive monitoring.

The methodological toolkit was additionally complemented by a pedagogical content analysis of current school practices. This involved systematising existing instructional models of literature lessons, evaluating their alignment with contemporary cognitive-scientific findings, and identifying elements of instruction that either support or hinder the development of deep, meaningful reading. Traditional educational approaches were compared with newer instructional strategies aimed at cultivating sustained attention, emotional engagement, interpretive thinking, and dialogic interaction with texts (Ferlazzo, 2020; Wolf, 2018). Such a comparison made it possible to outline pedagogical conditions conducive to restoring deep reading in a digitally mediated educational environment.

Overall, the study employs an interdisciplinary methodology that integrates neuroscientific data, psychological models of reading, analyses of educational practices, and contemporary approaches to teaching literature. This multifaceted framework provides a holistic foundation for understanding the role of reading in student development and enables the identification of pedagogical strategies capable of

supporting, strengthening, and restoring deep reading in the context of the digital age.

RESULTS

The results of the theoretical analysis demonstrate that reading plays a uniquely significant role as a cognitive and emotionally intellectual activity that exerts a multidimensional influence on student development. Neuropsychological research confirms that reading is a highly organised process involving numerous brain regions responsible for visual perception, linguistic processing, semantic integration, logical reasoning, and affective response (Carr, 2010; Dehaene, 2009, 2020; Zull, 2011). Through this coordinated activity, reading forms complex neural networks that foster the interaction of several cognitive systems and strengthen executive functions, sustained attention, analytical thinking, and the capacity for prolonged concentration. Numerous studies further indicate that the neurological benefits of reading extend into long-term cognitive resilience, supporting neural plasticity throughout the school years (Immordino-Yang, 2016).

One of the key findings is that reading literary texts activates mechanisms of imagination, empathy, and emotional sensitivity. By engaging with artistic imagery, students draw upon internal models of the world, fostering the development of ethical thinking, the ability to interpret another person's emotions, and an expansion of personal experience through the feelings and situations presented in the text. In this sense, reading functions as an intellectual and emotional

training ground that reinforces learners' capacity for reflection, self-understanding, and empathic reasoning (Baron, 2015; Mangen & van der Weel, 2016). Recent educational research also emphasises that deep engagement with literature has measurable benefits for the growth of social and emotional competencies and narrative understanding (Wolf, 2018).

The analysis of literary and pedagogical scholarship further indicates that reading contributes not only to the formation of cognitive structures but also to holistic personal development. Reading enriches vocabulary, shapes the internal linguistic picture of the world, cultivates sensitivity to semantic nuance, and enhances critical and interpretive thinking skills. It is one of the most powerful factors in the development of abstract reasoning and independent intellectual work. These findings align with large-scale international assessments such as PIRLS and PISA, which repeatedly demonstrate strong correlations between reading proficiency, academic performance, and long-term learning outcomes (Mullis et al., 2022; OECD, 2019).

However, the examination of contemporary reading practices among students reveals several persistent problems related to a considerable decline in reading activity. Students across grade levels increasingly avoid reading full literary works, often substituting genuine interaction with the text with fragmented browsing, plot summaries, short online adaptations, or social media content. Such practices hinder the development of

deep reading competence and negatively affect attention, inner focus, metacognitive monitoring, and the ability to analyse literary works on a meaningful level (Baron, 2015; Singer & Alexander, 2017). Meta-analyses similarly show that digital reading environments often encourage superficial processing and reduce comprehension accuracy (Delgado et al., 2018).

One of the central causes of this phenomenon is the digital transformation of the information environment. Today's children grow up in a culture of instant messaging, short video formats, clip thinking, and fragmented data consumption. Rapidly shifting information streams promote habits of surface-level scanning rather than vertically deep engagement with a text. This creates a cognitive dissonance between the nature of digital media, which rewards speed and immediate reward, and the nature of reading, which requires focus, time, and cognitive endurance (Carr, 2010; Kaye et al., 2021). Research on digital distraction confirms that repeated attentional switching, characteristic of digital behaviour, weakens students' ability to sustain prolonged cognitive effort (Robinson & Calvo, 2021).

In addition, several didactic problems impede the effective organisation of reading in school instruction. Many teachers lack adequate knowledge of contemporary findings in neuropsychology and cognitive science related to reading, while school curricula remain overloaded with factual content that limits time for deep reading and discussion. As a result, students frequently direct their efforts not toward the literary text itself but

toward external, formal elements of the lesson: plot summaries, biographical facts, or character lists (Willingham, 2017). Such practices displace the core activity of reading and reduce opportunities for meaningful interpretation. Comparative studies in reading education also highlight a gap between traditional instructional models and newer approaches rooted in the science-of-reading perspective (Duke & Cartwright, 2021).

The analysis further reveals a significant discrepancy between the requirements of contemporary reading science and current school practices. While neuroscience emphasises the importance of slow, reflective engagement with a text, many classrooms continue to prioritise rapid progression through material. This contradiction may be one of the factors contributing to the decline in reading skills, reduced attention span, and a weakening of interpretive thinking. Emerging research in digital-age learning similarly shows that deep reading requires structured pedagogical scaffolding and a deliberate balance between print and digital modalities (Barzillai et al., 2018).

In summary, the results indicate that reading in contemporary schools is experiencing a crisis caused by cognitive, cultural, and pedagogical factors. Despite this, theoretical analysis confirms that reading remains a crucial resource for brain development and for cultivating analytical, emotionally intelligent, and reflective thinking. Its

developmental potential persists, making reading indispensable for the formation of an intellectually resilient and intrinsically motivated learner in the digital age.

DISCUSSION

The discussion of the findings underscores the urgent need, within contemporary digital culture, to rethink pedagogical approaches to reading and to update the methodology of teaching literature. Neuropsychological and cognitive data highlighting the importance of deep reading for brain development, attention span, analytical thinking, and emotional functioning pose a clear challenge for schools: to create learning environments capable of helping students resist fragmented and superficial modes of text interaction. These findings align with broader educational neuroscience research showing that cognitively demanding, immersive reading tasks stimulate long-term neural plasticity and foster reflective, integrative thinking.

One of the key directions for methodological renewal is the re-establishment of reading as the central, rather than secondary, activity of the literature lesson. This requires reducing the volume of factual material that often displaces the time needed for genuine immersion in a literary work. Instead of prioritising plot retellings, biographical notes, or external character features, instruction should be oriented toward work with the text itself – toward forming internal connections between the student's emotional experience and the artistic

content of the work (Willingham, 2017). Research within the science-of-reading framework also emphasises the value of explicit metacognitive engagement, sustained attention, and the deliberate cultivation of interpretive strategies.

In this context, the principle of slow reading becomes especially significant, standing in opposition to digital fragmentation and clip thinking. Slow reading makes it possible to experience a literary text deeply, to integrate multiple cognitive and emotional processes, and to cultivate imagination, empathy, and interpretive skills. It is through reading that the student develops the ability to concentrate on complex textual structures, identify subtexts, understand character motivations, and articulate an ethical stance (Mangen & van der Weel, 2016; Wolf, 2018). These processes are increasingly recognised as essential for strengthening cognitive stamina in the digital age, where constant multitasking weakens sustained engagement (Ophir et al., 2009).

The discussion also highlights the necessity of updating teachers' pedagogical thinking. In practice, many literature teachers lack familiarity with contemporary neuropsychological and cognitive-scientific findings on reading, which limits their ability to design effective methodologies. Competence in working with students' attentional mechanisms, sustaining motivation, and fostering skills of focus and emotional engagement requires targeted professional development and continuous learning. The modern teacher must become a mediator between the deep

cognitive mechanisms of reading and the realities of digital culture, which often encourages immediacy and superficial processing. Teacher preparation programs, therefore, should integrate findings from cognitive science, media psychology, and digital literacy studies.

Another essential direction for improvement is the implementation of practical technologies of deep reading, which can make the literature lesson more interactive, emotionally engaging, and oriented toward the student's personal experience. Such technologies include annotated reading, reading workshops, readers' journals, interpretative work with artistic imagery, dialogic reading, and individual or group projects encouraging creative engagement with a text. These forms restore the connection between the student and the text, returning reading to the status of an emotionally meaningful and intellectually rich activity. They also support the development of multimodal literacy, a competence increasingly necessary in contemporary media environments (Barzillai et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the discussion confirms the necessity of systemic changes at the level of educational policy. Curriculum frameworks must be revised to reduce overload and strengthen the emphasis on reading as a process. State and institutional initiatives are needed to support school libraries, promote reading among young people, integrate cognitive-scientific knowledge into teacher education, and modernise instructional materials. International assessments such as PIRLS and PISA consistently demonstrate the importance of strong reading competencies for academic achievement and lifelong learning

(Mullis et al., 2022; OECD, 2019). These findings underscore that policy interventions are not merely desirable. However, it is essential for preventing further decline in deep reading skills.

In a broader context, the findings of the study show that reading remains a unique practice capable of providing balance between the speed of digital information and the depth of intellectual reflection. In a world where digital media cultivate habits of instant gratification, reading instead demands time, focus, and inner discipline. For this reason, restoring reading to its central position within education may serve as an effective response to the major pedagogical challenges of the digital age, reinforcing the cognitive foundations necessary for thoughtful, resilient, and ethically grounded learners.

In conclusion, the discussion confirms that an updated teaching methodology – grounded in the principles of slow, deep, and personally engaged reading – has the potential to significantly enhance student development and to restore literature's role as a core space of intellectual and spiritual culture in the school environment. Only through such a reorientation can education respond meaningfully to the cognitive and ethical demands placed on young people in an era of rapid digital change.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrates that reading occupies a central place in the cognitive, emotional, and intellectual development of the student, and that its importance grows significantly in the context of the digital transformation of

contemporary culture. Findings from neuropsychology and cognitive science confirm that reading activates complex neural networks, strengthens executive functions, and develops attention, analytical thinking, imagination, and empathy. Literary texts, in turn, stimulate emotional self-understanding, broaden internal experience, cultivate ethical sensitivity, and foster reflective and interpretive insight. These outcomes underscore the irreplaceable role of literature-based reading in shaping both cognitive and social and emotional maturity.

At the same time, the analysis reveals a deep crisis of reading in contemporary schools – one caused not only by digital acceleration but also by weaknesses in current didactic practice. Fragmented attention, the dominance of clip-like formats, reduced reading stamina, and the mismatch between instructional routines and the actual cognitive mechanisms of reading have led to a decline in reading competence and superficial engagement with texts. International assessments such as PIRLS and PISA further confirm that declining deep-reading skills correlate with lower academic performance and weakened higher-order reasoning.

In this context, updating the methodology of teaching literature becomes particularly urgent. An effective pedagogical strategy must rely on the principles of slow, attentive, and emotionally engaged reading; regular and unhurried immersion in the text; and the cultivation of intrinsic motivation to read. The preparation of teachers who understand the cognitive and neuropsychological processes underlying reading is therefore

essential. Such preparation should include familiarity with contemporary research on digital reading, metacognition, media distraction, and attentional scaffolding. Without this, teachers will remain unable to counteract the pressures of digital culture within the classroom.

Equally important is supporting reading at the level of educational policy. Curriculum frameworks must be revised to reduce overload, allowing time for extended engagement with literary works. Institutional initiatives are needed to strengthen school libraries, integrate cognitive-scientific knowledge into teacher education, and promote reading as a meaningful cultural practice for young people. Approaches informed by the science of reading (Duke & Cartwright, 2021) and digital-well-being research (Kaye et al., 2021) can guide reforms that foster sustained reading habits, deeper comprehension, and greater student autonomy.

In summary, the return of reading to a central position in school-based humanities education is not a nostalgic gesture but a scientifically grounded and pedagogically necessary response to the challenges of the digital age. Reading provides the conditions for intellectual resilience, balances the speed of information flows with the depth of thought, and supports the formation of a holistic, reflective, and emotionally sensitive personality. For these reasons, reading must remain a core educational practice shaping the development of the modern

student in an era of rapid technological change. Ensuring this will require coordinated efforts from teachers, policymakers, researchers, and communities – yet the benefits for the intellectual and cultural future of young people make this endeavour both urgent and profoundly worthwhile.

REFERENCES

Baron, N. S. (2015). Words Onscreen: The Fate of Reading in a Digital World. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199315765.001.0001

Baron, N. S. (2017). Reading in a digital age. *Phi Delta Kappan, 99*(2), 15–20.https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721717734184

Barzillai, M., Thomson, J., Schroeder, S., & van den Broek, P. (2018). Learning to read in the digital age: an overview of research trends. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 34*(6), 547–556. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12293

Carr, N. G. (2010). The Shallows: What the Internet Is Doing to Our Brains. W. W. Norton & Company.

Dehaene, S. (2009). Reading in the Brain: The Science and Evolution of a Human Invention. Viking.

Dehaene, S. (2020). How We Learn: Why Brains Learn Better Than Any Machine... For Now. Penguin.

Delgado, P., Vargas, C., Ackerman, R., & Salmerón, L. (2018). Don't throw away your printed books: A meta-analysis on the effects of reading media on reading comprehension. *Educational Research Review,* 25, 23–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2018.09.003

Duke, N. K., & Cartwright, K. B. (2021). The science of reading progresses: Communicating advances beyond the simple view of reading. *Reading Research Quarterly, 56*(S1), 25–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.411

Ferlazzo, L. (2020). Student motivation: Current theories, practices, and interventions. *Phi Delta Kappan, 102*(4), 12–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721720978053

Chesnokova, A., & Zyngier, S. (2024). Literary awareness and the reading experience: An evidence-based assessment. *The Modern Higher Education Review,* (9), 84–100. https://doi.org/10.28925/2617-5266/2024.95

Immordino-Yang, M. H. (2016). Emotions, Learning, and the Brain. W. W. Norton.

Immordino-Yang, M. H., & Damasio, A. (2007). We feel, therefore we learn: the relevance of affective and social neuroscience to

education. *Mind, Brain, and Education, 1*(1), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-228X.2007.00004.x

Kandel, E. (2016). Reductionism in Art and Brain Science: Bridging the Two Cultures. Columbia University Press. https://doi.org/10.7312/kand17474

Kaye, L. K., Orben, A., & Ellis, D. A. (2021). Digital well-being: A conceptual review. *New Media & Society*, *23*(7), 2113–2133. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211009772

Mangen, A., & van der Weel, A. (2016). The evolution of reading in the age of digitisation: An integrative framework for reading research. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 279–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.09.004

Mangen, A., Walgermo, B. R., & Brønnick, K. (2013). Reading linear texts on paper versus computer screen: Effects on reading comprehension. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 58, 61–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2012.12.002

Mospan, N. V., & Sysoieva, S. O. (2022). Trends in digital adaptation of schools during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Information Technologies and Learning Tools, 91*(5), 21–35. https://doi.org/10.33407/itlt.v91i5.5063

Mospan, N. (2023). Trends in emergency higher education digital transformation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, *20*(1), 50–70. https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.01.04

Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Aronova, M. A., Olson, J. F., & Kelly, M. L. (2022). PIRLS 2021 International Results. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.

OECD. (2019). PISA 2018 Results: What Students Know and Can Do. OECD Publishing.

Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive Control in Media Multitaskers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(37), 15583–15587.

Robinson, O., & Calvo, R. A. (2021). Digital distraction and student performance: A systematic review. *Computers & Education,* 174, 104310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104310

Singer, L. M., & Alexander, P. A. (2017). Reading on paper and digitally: What the past decades of empirical research reveal. *Review of Educational Research, 87*(6), 1007–1041. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654317722961

Willingham, D. T. (2009). Why Don't Students Like School? Jossey-Bass.

Willingham, D. T. (2017). The Reading Mind: A Cognitive Approach to Understanding How the Mind Reads. Jossey-Bass.
Wolf, M. (2007). Proust and the Squid: The Story and Science of the Reading Brain. HarperCollins.
Wolf, M. (2018). Reader, Come Home: The Reading Brain in a Digital World. Harper.
Zull, J. E. (2011). From Brain to Mind: Using Neuroscience to Guide Change in Education. Stylus.

ГЛИБОКЕ ЧИТАННЯ І КОГНІТИВНИЙ РОЗВИТОК У ЦИФРОВУ ЕПОХУ – ВИКЛИКИ Й ОСВІТНІ СТРАТЕГІЇ

***Лариса Удовиченко*,**
доктор педагогічних наук, професор, професор кафедри східної культури і літератури, Київський столичний університет імені Бориса Грінченка, 04053, вул. Бульварно-Кудрявська, 18/2, м. Київ, Україна,
l.udovychenko@kubg.edu.ua

У статті здійснено міждисциплінарний аналіз когнітивного, нейропсихологічного та педагогічного значення глибинного читання в умовах цифрової трансформації сучасної культури. Спираючись на дослідження з нейронауки, когнітивної психології, медіапсихології та методики навчання літератури, автор розглядає читання як складну інтегративну діяльність, що поєднує візуальні, мовні, семантичні та емоційні процеси. Така інтеграція сприяє розвитку виконавчих функцій, довготривалої уваги, метакогнітивного контролю, аналітичного мислення та соціально-емоційної чутливості. Теоретичний аналіз сучасних емпіричних даних свідчить, що цифрове середовище, позначене фрагментацією інформації, швидкими медіаформатами та звичною багатозадачністю, послаблює когнітивні умови, необхідні для глибинного читання. Міжнародні дослідження (PIRLS, PISA) фіксують зниження витривалості уваги, глибини розуміння тексту та здатності до інтерпретації в учнів різних вікових груп. Цю проблему загострює збереження в школах застарілих методичних моделей, орієнтованих на відтворення фактів, а не на тривалу й осмислену взаємодію з художнім текстом. У статті обґрунтовується необхідність методичного переорієнтування на повільне, уважне й емоційно залучене читання, що потребує вчителя, обізнаного з когнітивними механізмами читання та викликами цифрової культури. Важливими є також системні освітні заходи: оновлення навчальних програм, модернізація шкільних бібліотек і підтримка читацьких практик серед молоді. Узагальнюючи, автор

доводить, що глибинне читання залишається ключовою когнітивною та культурною практикою, яка забезпечує розвиток інтелектуальної стійкості, етичної чутливості та рефлексивного мислення в умовах цифрової доби.

Ключові слова: *медіасередовища, глибинне читання, емпатія, когнітивний розвиток, концентрація уваги, методика літературної освіти, нейронаука читання, освітні стратегії, цифрова культура, читацька компетентність.*

Received: *25.11.2025*

Accepted: *10.12.2025*